arXiv:1604.03080v2 [gr-qc] 21 Jul 2016

Interacting Quintessence in a New Scalar-Torsion Gravity

Behnaz Fazlpour [1]

Department of Physics, Babol Branch, Islamic Azad University, Babol, Iran

Abstract

In the framework of teleparallel equivalent of general relativity, we study a gravity theory where a scalar field beyond its minimal coupling, is also coupled with the vector torsion through a non-minimal derivative coupling. After a suitable choice of auxiliary variables that allows us to perform the phase-space analysis of the model we obtain the critical points and their stability. While there is no scaling attractor in non-interacting scenario, by considering an interaction between dark energy and dark matter, we find scaling attractors in which the fractional densities of dark energy and matter are non-vanishing constants over there. The universe can evolve to these scaling attractors regardless of initial conditions and the cosmological coincidence problem could be alleviated without fine-tunings.

PACS numbers: 95.36.+x, 98.80.-k, 04.50.kd
Keywords: Teleparallel gravity; Non-minimal coupling; Dark energy, dark matter interaction.

[1] b.fazlpour@umz.ac.ir

1 Introduction

A mysterious component of our universe is dark energy. It is responsible for current cosmic acceleration and observationally confirmed [1-4]. ΛCDM model is the simplest theoretical explanation for dark energy in which a cosmological constant Λ accounts for dark energy and it is in best agreement with the observational data. Besides its successes, Λ suffers from two major problems: the so-called cosmological constant problem and the cosmic coincidence problem [5, 6].

To solve these problems, one needs to introduce further dynamical degrees of freedom, either by modifying the right hand side of the Einstein equations or the left hand side of them. In the first class usually a scalar field such as quintessence [7], phantom [8], tachyon [9] and so on, appears in the source sector while in the second class the Ricci scalar R is replaced by often higher order terms in the gravitational field. The well-known $f(R)$ [10, 11], $f(G)$ [12, 13] and $f(R, G)$ [14-16] models belong to this later class and it is proved that after some transformations and redefinitions these theories can be recast into scalar-tensor theories.

In scalar-tensor theories such as the Brans-Dick theory [17], scalar field non-minimally couples to gravity. The non-minimal coupling of the form $f(\varphi)R$ is motivated by many reasons such as quantum corrections, renormalizability of the scalar field theory in curved space, Klauze-Klein compactification scheme, the low-energy limit of superstring theory etc. The most general non-minimally coupled scalar field theory results in second order field equations, is known as the Horndeski model [18] in which higher order derivatives of the scalar field appear in its Lagrangian.

Furthermore, while dark energy models usually assume the filed to be non-interacting considering an interaction between different components of the universe could provide solutions to a number of cosmological problems such as the coincidence problem via the method of scaling solution [19-22]. In fact, as nothing specific is known about the nature of both dark energy and dark matter, there is no physical reason to exclude the possible interaction between them. In addition, there are some observational evidences for interaction in dark sectors of our universe [23, 24]. These facts motivate us to study a new proposed dark energy model in an interacting scenario.

Moreover, an alternative gravitational theory to general relativity is the teleparallel gravity where gravity is described by using the Weitzenbock connection (for review see [25]). The Lagrangian of this theory is written with the torsion scalar T, and not the Ricci scalar R defined with Levi-Civita connection [26-28] as in general relativity.

Recently inspired by the same theories in the framework of general relativity, various generalization of teleparallel gravity including $f(T)$ gravity [29-31], $f(T, T_G)$ gravity [32] as well as non-minimally coupled scalar-torsion theories [33] have been wildly studied in the literature (see for example [34, 35]).

A non-minimal coupling between derivative of scalar field and curvature in the framework of general relativity is also another interesting issue to explore cosmological implications of such a coupling in details [36-38]. The same non-minimal coupling of the form $T\, g^{\mu\nu}\, \partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi$ is considered in [39] to obtain black hole solution of the model in teleparallel framework.

A new version of the above mentioned scalar-torsion theory has been proposed in [40, 41] where scalar field through its derivatives couples to vector part of torsion in the form $f(\varphi)\, \partial_\mu\varphi\, \mathcal{V}^\mu$ with $\mathcal{V}^\mu$ as the vector torsion. Recently, Jennen and Pereira have shown in [54] that such a non-minimal coupling of scalar field derivative and vector torsion naturally appears in the context of de Sitter teleparallel gravity which is consistent with local space time kinematics regulated by the de Sitter group SO(1,4). In the present work we study the dynamics of such a model by considering an interaction between dark energy and background matter. We perform a detailed phase-space analysis of the model for the most familiar interaction term extensively considered in the literature. The present paper is organized as follows:

In section 2 we briefly review the teleparallel gravity and then introduce the model. In section 3 by using suitable auxiliary variables we build up a system of autonomous differential equations. In section 4, the critical points of dynamical system are extracted for constant α (it means the coupling function is linear in terms of φ). Fixed points and their stability properties for a non-constant α have been obtained in section 5. Section 6 is devoted to our conclusion.

2 Teleparallel Gravity and the Model

Here we briefly review the formulation of teleparallelism. The metric is written as $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}\, e^a_\mu\, e^b_\nu$ where η_{ab} is the Minkowski metric, $e_a(x^\mu)$ are orthonormal tetrad components at points x^μ of the manifold in the tangent space and e^μ_a is the tangent vector of the manifold. As we mentioned earlier the Lagrangian density is given by torsion scalar T defined as $T \equiv S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}$, where $T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv e^\rho_a\big(\partial_\mu e^a_\nu - \partial_\nu e^a_\mu\big)$ is the torsion tensor and $S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\big(K^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho + \delta^\mu_\rho\, T^{\alpha\nu}{}_\alpha - \delta^\nu_\rho\, T^{\alpha\mu}{}_\alpha\big)$ with $K^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\big(T^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho - T^{\nu\mu}{}_\rho - T_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}\big)$ is the contorsion tensor. By considering the FRW metric background as

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2), \tag{1}$$

the metric and tetrad components read $g_{\mu\nu} = diag(1, -a^2, -a^2, -a^2)$ and $e^a_\mu = (1, a, a, a)$ respectively. In FRW background the torsion scalar is also given by $T = -6H^2$. Here, $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble parameter, a is the scale factor and a dot stands for derivative with respect to the cosmic time t.
Furthermore, the torsion scalar can be decomposed into three components namely vector torsion, axial torsion and pure tensor torsion [25] where by vector torsion we mean

$$\mathcal{V}_\mu = T^\nu{}_{\nu\mu}. \tag{2}$$

The Ricci scalar of the Levi-Civita connection in terms of the Weitzenbock connection can be expressed as

$$R = -T + 2\nabla_\mu\, \mathcal{V}^\mu = -T + \frac{2}{e}\partial_\mu\,(e\mathcal{V}^\mu), \tag{3}$$

where ∇_μ is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative.
Moreover, a non-minimal coupling between a scalar field and the Ricci scalar of the form $\xi R\varphi^2$, because of the motivations such as quantum corrections to the scalar field in curved space-time [46-47], renormalization considerations [48] and its appearance in the context of superstring theories [49] is completely reasonable.
Now, if ones try to construct the same theory in the teleparallel framework, they should consider a non-minimal coupling between scalar field and the boundary term (total derivative term in (3) [50, 51]) of the form $C(\varphi)\nabla_\mu\, \mathcal{V}^\mu$. However, integration by parts from such a coupling leads to the following equivalent coupling $f(\varphi)\, \mathcal{V}^\mu \partial_\mu\varphi$ where $f(\varphi) = C'(\varphi)$ and this is the form of coupling we will consider in the following.
Now let us introduce the model in which scalar field non-minimally couples to the vector torsion through its derivative

$$S = \int d^4x\, e\, \left[\frac{T}{2\,\kappa^2} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi - V(\varphi) + \eta\, f(\varphi)\partial_\mu\varphi\, \mathcal{V}^\mu\right] + S_m, \tag{4}$$

where $e \equiv \det(e^a{}_\mu) = \sqrt{-g}$, $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ and G is a bare gravitational constant (for reviews on teleparallelism see [25]). S_m is the matter action, η is a dimensionless constant measuring the non-minimal coupling and $f(\varphi)$ is an general function of the scalar field.
The gravitational coupling of fundamental fields in teleparallel gravity is a controversial subject. Since there is no experimental data to help us, we should rely on equivalence between general relativity and teleparallel gravity. According to this formulation, each one of the fundamental fields of nature (scalar, spinor, and electromagnetic) are required to couple to torsion in such a way to preserve the equivalence between teleparallel gravity and general relativity. It is shown in [25] that in the context of teleparallel gravity a scalar field by itself does not feel gravity but its four-derivative (which is a vector field) interacts with the vector part of the torsion. On the other hand, in the framework of teleparallelism, due to the presence of a total derivative in the relation between the curvature scalar and the torsion scalar the essential condition for the torsion scalar T to has its non-minimal coupling to a scalar field in a conformal manner is that a term of the form $f(\varphi)\partial_\mu\varphi\, \mathcal{V}^\mu$ has to be assumed in the action [52]. Also, it has been shown in Ref [53] that a Lagrangian which is invariant under the space-time coordinate transformations and conformal transformations and leads to teleparallel Lagrangian in the gauge where the scalar field is restricted to assume a constant value, includes a non-minimal coupling of the form $g^{\mu\nu}\, \mathcal{V}_\nu\varphi\, (\partial_\mu\varphi)$.
The model (4) and teleparallel dark energy model [33] in which scalar field non-minimally coupled to the torsion scalar instead of vector torsion, are mathematically related through a conformal transformation

although they are physically different. In what follows we consider a possible interaction between dark energy and dark matter and study the phase-space of the model (4).
Varying action (4) with respect to the tetrad field yields to the field equations. In this procedure the energy momentum tensor associated with the scalar field $\Theta_a{}^\rho \equiv -\frac{1}{e}\frac{\delta S_\varphi}{\delta e^a{}_\rho}$ is given by

$$\Theta_a{}^\rho = \eta\big[f(\varphi)(\mathcal{V}^\rho\partial_a\varphi + \nabla_a\partial^\rho\varphi - e_a{}^\rho\nabla_\mu\partial^\mu\varphi) + f_{,\varphi}(\partial_a\varphi\,\partial^\rho\varphi - e_a{}^\rho\partial_\mu\varphi\,\partial^\mu\varphi)\big]$$
$$- e_a{}^\rho\big(\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi\,\partial^\mu\varphi - V(\varphi)\big) + \partial_a\varphi\,\partial^\rho\varphi, \tag{5}$$

where ∇^μ is the covariant derivative in the teleparallel connection [25], $f_{,\varphi} = \frac{df(\varphi)}{d\varphi}$ and $V_{,\varphi} = \frac{dV}{d\varphi}$.
By imposing the flat FRW metric in (5), we obtain the Friedmann equations with scalar field energy density and pressure of the form,

$$\rho_\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\dot\varphi^2 + V(\varphi) - 3\eta f(\varphi)H\dot\varphi, \tag{6}$$

and

$$p_\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2\,\eta\,f_{,\varphi})\dot\varphi^2 - V(\varphi) + \eta f(\varphi)\ddot\varphi. \tag{7}$$

Additionally, variation of action (4) with respect to the scalar field yields to its evolution equation that in FRW background takes the form

$$\ddot\varphi + 3\,H\,\dot\varphi - 3\,\eta\,\left(\dot H + 3H^2\right)\,f(\varphi) + V_{,\varphi} = -\frac{Q}{\dot\varphi}, \tag{8}$$

where Q is a general interaction term corresponding to coupling between dark energy and dark matter. In fact equation (8) expresses the continuity relation for the field $\dot\rho_\varphi + 3\,H\,(1+\omega_\varphi)\,\rho_\varphi = -Q$ with $\omega_\varphi = p_\varphi/\rho_\varphi$ the equation of state of the scalar field while the continuity equation for matter reads $\dot\rho_m + 3H(1+\omega_m)\rho_m = Q$. Here, we mention that considering an interaction between dark energy and dark matter is a common way for elaboration of the well-known coincidence problem or why are the densities of vacuum energy and dark matter equal today? In dynamical system technique this problem can be alleviated via the method of scaling solutions [19-22] (solution corresponding to accelerating universe and ratio $\frac{\rho_\varphi}{\rho_m} = c$, with c a non-zero constant).

3 Dynamical Analysis

In order to study the phase-space and stability analysis of the model using the dynamical system method let us introduce the following auxiliary variables:

$$x \equiv \frac{\kappa\,\dot\varphi}{\sqrt{6}\,H}, \quad y \equiv \frac{\kappa\,\sqrt{V}}{\sqrt{3}\,H}, \quad u \equiv \kappa\,f, \quad \alpha \equiv f_{,\varphi}, \quad \lambda \equiv -\frac{V_{,\varphi}}{\kappa V}. \tag{9}$$

In terms of these new variables, the field equations can be rewritten as follows,

$$\frac{dx}{dN} = (3-s)\Big(-x + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\eta u\Big) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\,\lambda\,y^2 - \hat Q, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{dy}{dN} = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{6}\,\lambda}{2}\,x\,y + s\right)\,y, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{du}{dN} = \sqrt{6}\,\alpha\,x, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dN} = -\sqrt{6}\,\lambda^2\,x\,\left(\Gamma - 1\right), \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dN} = \sqrt{6}\, x\, \Pi, \tag{14}$$

where $N = \ln a$, $\hat{Q} = \frac{\kappa\, Q}{\sqrt{6}\, H^2\, \dot{\varphi}}$ and the following parameters are defined

$$\Pi = \frac{f_{,\varphi\varphi}}{\kappa}, \qquad \Gamma = \frac{V\, V_{,\varphi\varphi}}{V_{,\varphi}^2}. \tag{15}$$

Also, s in our setup reads,

$$s = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = 3\, \left(\frac{2}{3} + \eta^2 u^2\right)^{-1} \left[2x^2(1+\eta\alpha) + 2\sqrt{6}\eta x u + 3\eta^2 u^2 + \lambda\eta y^2 u + \gamma(1 - x^2 - y^2 + \sqrt{6}\eta u x) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta u \hat{Q}\right], \tag{16}$$

where γ is the barotropic index defined by $\gamma = 1 + \omega_m$ such that $1 < \gamma < 2$.
Using variables (9) the density parameters $\Omega_i \equiv (\kappa^2\, \rho_i)/(3\, H^2)$ for the scalar field and background matter are given by

$$\Omega_\varphi = x^2 + y^2 - \sqrt{6}\, \eta\, u\, x, \qquad \Omega_m = 1 - \Omega_\varphi. \tag{17}$$

Also the equation of state of the field ω_φ, the effective equation of state and deceleration parameter q can be written as

$$\omega_\varphi = \frac{p_\varphi}{\rho_\varphi} = \frac{(1 + 2\,\eta\,\alpha)x^2 - y^2 + \eta\, u\, \big(-\sqrt{6}x + \eta\, u(3-s) + \lambda\, y^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{Q}\big)}{x^2 + y^2 - \sqrt{6}\, \eta\, u\, x}, \tag{18}$$

$$\omega_{eff} = (p_\varphi + p_m)\,/\,(\rho_\varphi + \rho_m)$$
$$= (\gamma - 1)\left[1 - (x^2 + y^2 - \sqrt{6}\, \eta\, u\, x)\right] + (1 + 2\,\eta\,\alpha)x^2 - y^2 + \eta\, u\, \big(-\sqrt{6}x + \eta\, u(3-s) + \lambda\, y^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{Q}\big). \tag{19}$$

and

$$q \equiv -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\omega_{eff}. \tag{20}$$

In what follows we utilize $\omega_{eff} < -\frac{1}{3}$ to obtain the required conditions for an accelerating universe.
At this point we briefly review the dynamical system method and its autonomous system of equations. An autonomous system in general can be written as $\frac{d\mathbf{Y}}{d\ln a} = f(\mathbf{Y})$, where the column vector $\mathbf{Y}$ is constituted by suitable auxiliary variables and $f(\mathbf{Y})$ is the corresponding column vector of the autonomous equations [42-44].
The solutions of the system of differential equations namely the solutions of $f(\mathbf{Y}) = 0$, yield to the fixed (critical) points $\mathbf{Y}_c$ of the system. In order to study the stability of the equilibrium or critical points we should first expand the system around $\mathbf{Y}_c$ as $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}_c + \mathbf{U}$ where the column vector $\mathbf{U}$ denotes the perturbation of the variables. For each critical point the 1st order perturbation technique leads to the matrix equation $\mathbf{U}' = \Sigma.\mathbf{U}$ where the matrix Σ contains all the coefficients of the perturbation equations. The stability of the critical points can be obtained from the sign of the eigenvalues of Σ. If the real part of all eigenvalues at a fixed point are negative then the fixed point is a stable point otherwise it is an unstable one or a saddle (in fact if all the eigenvalues have positive real part, then the fixed point is an unstable fixed point and if some eigenvalues have negative real part and remaining eigenvalues have positive real part, then it is a saddle point). A detailed analysis of the stability criteria is given in Refs [42-44]. A critical point is an attractor when it is a stable point and the universe evolves to the attractor solutions regardless of the initial conditions.

In our setup once the parameters Γ and Π are known, equations (10)-(14) become a system of autonomous differential equations and one can study dynamics of the model in a usual way. Considering an exponential potential of the form $V = V_0\, e^{-\lambda\kappa\varphi}$ with constant λ leads to $\Gamma = 1$ and equation (13) can be eliminated from our system of differential equations. In the other hand, we classify our study in two parts. First, we consider a coupling function of the form $f(\varphi) \propto \varphi$ which yields to a constant α. Consequently for an exponential potential and a constant α our system of autonomous equations is reduced to equations (10)-(12). In the second step a dynamically changing α is studied. For this case we mention that $u = \kappa f$ is a function of φ and one can express φ as a function of u using the inverse function i.e $\varphi(u) = f^{-1}\big(\frac{u}{\kappa}\big)$. Thus, $\alpha(\varphi)$ and $\Pi(\varphi)$ can be written in terms of u and the dynamical system described by equations (10)-(12) (for details see [45]).
In the following sections we extract the critical points and their properties for constant and dynamically changing α. Also the interaction between dark energy and dark matter is assumed to be of the form $Q = \beta\,\kappa\,\rho_m\,\dot{\varphi}$ which leads to the following $\hat{Q}$ in equation (10)

$$\hat{Q} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\,\beta\,\Omega_m, \tag{21}$$

where β is a dimensionless constant.

4 Constant α

In this section we assume that α in (9) is a no-zero constant. This means that the non-minimal coupling function is proportional to φ i.e $f(\varphi) \propto \varphi$. By inserting (21) in (10), the dynamical system (10)-(12) has two critical points A_1 and A_2 presented in Table 1. This table also provides the corresponding values of density (Ω_φ) and equation of state (ω_φ) parameters of dark energy as well as the effective equation of state (ω_{eff}) at each critical points.
Now substituting the liner perturbations $x \to x_c + \delta x$, $y \to y_c + \delta y$ and $u \to u_c + \delta u$ into the autonomous system (10)-(12) and linearize them give us the components of the perturbation matrix Σ (the component of Σ have been written in the Appendix). The sign of the real part of the eigenvalues of Σ will determine the type and stability of the critical points. The results of stability analysis have been summarized in Table 2. We have also presented the existence and acceleration conditions in Table 2. Let us now discuss the properties of critical points in details.

Critical Point A_1:
This point corresponds to a completely dark energy dominated solution ($\Omega_\varphi = 1$) with equation of state equal to the cosmological constant ($\omega_\varphi = 1$). Accelerated expansion occurs at point A_1 for all values of the model parameters. Three eigen values of matrix Σ at this point are as follows:

$$\mu_{12} = \frac{3}{2}\Big(-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{24\,\eta\,\alpha}{\lambda^2 + 6}}\Big), \quad \mu_3 = -3\gamma.$$

Therefore, A_1 is a stable point if $\eta\alpha < 0$ and thus it can attract the universe at late-times.
Using numerical computations and phase-space trajectories, we have shown the attractor behavior of point A_1 for special choices of the model parameters in Figure 1.

Critical Point A_2:
Point A_2 corresponds to a matter dominated solution that exists under condition $2\beta^2 > 12(\gamma-1) - 3\gamma^2 + 2(\gamma-2)\gamma\,\eta$. The deceleration parameter at this point is given by $q = \frac{3}{2}\gamma - 1$. Thus expansion of the universe is non-accelerating. Eigenvalues of linearized perturbation matrix at this point are obtained as,

$$\mu_{1,2} = \frac{3}{2}(\gamma-2)\Big(-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\,\gamma\,\eta\,(2-\gamma)}{(3\gamma^2 + 2\beta^2 - 12\gamma + 12)}}\Big), \quad \mu_3 = \frac{3}{2}\gamma.$$

Table 1: The critical points of the autonomous system (10)-(12) for constant α and the corresponding values of the dark energy density parameter Ω_φ, the dark energy equation of state parameter ω_φ and the effective equation of state parameter ω_{eff}.

Name	x_c	y_c	u_c	Ω_φ	ω_φ	ω_{eff}
A_1	0	1	$-\frac{\lambda}{3\eta}$	1	-1	-1
A_2	0	0	$-\frac{2\beta}{3\eta(\gamma-2)}$	0	-1	$\gamma-1$

Table 2: Existence, acceleration and stability conditions of the fixed points in Table 1.

label	existence	acceleration	stability
A_1	$\eta\,\alpha \geq -\frac{\lambda^2+6}{24}$	All values	$\eta\,\alpha < 0$
A_2	$2\beta^2 > 12(\gamma-1) - 3\gamma^2 + 2(\gamma-2)\gamma\,\eta$	No	Unstable

So, A_2 is an unstable point because one of the eigenvalues (μ_3) is positive. It may deserve to be considered as a possible state of the universe at previous stages.

5 Varying α

When the non-minimal coupling function is any general function of φ other than $f(\varphi) \propto \varphi$ then the parameter α will be a non-constant parameter. As we mentioned in section 3, α can be expressed in terms of u such that at critical point (x_c, y_c, u_c), $\alpha(u) \to \alpha(u_c) = 0$. Also when $(x, y, u) \to (x_c, y_c, u_c)$, the field φ rolls down toward $\pm\infty$ with $f(\varphi) \propto \frac{1}{\kappa}$ and $u_c = 1$. Five critical points of dynamical system (10)-(12) together with the corresponding values of Ω_φ, ω_φ and ω_{eff} are presented in Table 3. The existence conditions, acceleration and stability criteria of these points have been shown in Table 4. Detailed explanation for each point is as follows:

Critical Point B_1:
Point B_1 exists for all values of the model parameters. Dark energy density parameter Ω_φ, equation of state ω_φ, effective equation of state ω_{eff} and deceleration parameter q at this point are given respectively by

$$\Omega_\varphi = -\frac{1}{6}\frac{(3\gamma\,\eta + 2\beta - 6\eta)(-6\beta\,\eta^2 + 3\gamma\,\eta - 2\beta - 6\eta)}{(-\beta\,\eta + \gamma - 2)^2}, \tag{22}$$

$$\omega_\varphi = \frac{-6\beta(\gamma-1)\eta^2 + (3\gamma^2 - 2\beta^2 - 9\gamma + 6)\eta - 2\beta}{(-6\beta\,\eta^2 + (3\gamma-6)\eta - 2\beta)}, \tag{23}$$

$$\omega_{eff} = \frac{1}{9}\,\frac{-2\beta^2 + 3(-2\gamma+3)\eta\,\beta + 3\gamma(\gamma-3) + 6}{(-\beta\,\eta + \gamma - 2)}, \tag{24}$$

and

$$q = \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{-2\beta^2 + 2(-3\gamma+4)\eta\,\beta + \gamma(3\gamma-8) + 4}{(-\beta\,\eta + \gamma - 2)}. \tag{25}$$

It is not easy to obtain a simple expression for acceleration condition $\omega_{eff} < -\frac{1}{3}$ using equation (24). Thus, we find the acceleration condition only when the matter is non-relativistic ($\gamma = 1$). In this case under the following conditions the expansion of the universe is accelerating

i) if $(\beta\,\eta+1)>0$ then we need the parameter β to be satisfied in

$$\frac{\eta}{2}\Big[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{2}{\eta^2}}\Big]<\beta<\frac{\eta}{2}\Big[1+\sqrt{1-\frac{2}{\eta^2}}\Big], \tag{26}$$

and

ii) if $(\beta\,\eta+1)<0$ then we require

$$\beta>\frac{\eta}{2}\Big[1+\sqrt{1-\frac{2}{\eta^2}}\Big],$$

or

$$\beta<\frac{\eta}{2}\Big[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{2}{\eta^2}}\Big]. \tag{27}$$

The solution B_1 can be a scaling solution ($0<\Omega_\varphi<1$) for particular choices of the model parameters and hence give hope to alleviate the cosmological coincidence problem.
Three eigenvalues of the corresponding perturbation matrix Σ at point B_1 read

$$\mu_1=\frac{-2\beta^2-6(\gamma-2)\eta\,\beta+3(\gamma-2)^2}{2(-\beta\,\eta+\gamma-2)},$$

$$\mu_2=\frac{\tau_c(3\gamma\,\eta+2\beta-6\eta)}{(-\beta\,\eta+\gamma-2)},$$

$$\mu_3=\frac{-2\beta^2-2\big(3(\gamma-1)\eta+\lambda\big)\beta+3(\gamma-2)(-\eta\,\lambda+\gamma)}{2(-\beta\,\eta+\gamma-2)}, \tag{28}$$

where τ_c in μ_2 stands for $\tau_c=\frac{d\alpha(u)}{du}|_{u=u_c}$. So, B_1 could be an attractor solution for different values of the model parameters.
Let us consider the simple case $\gamma=1$ and examine the stability conditions. In this case B_1 is a stable point under the following requirements:

i) if $(\beta\,\eta+1)>0$ then one of the required conditions is as follows

$$-\frac{\lambda}{2}\Big[1+\sqrt{1+\frac{6(\eta\,\lambda-1)}{\lambda^2}}\Big]<\beta<\frac{\lambda}{2}\Big[-1+\sqrt{1+\frac{6(\eta\,\lambda-1)}{\lambda^2}}\Big], \tag{29}$$

and for $\tau_c<0$ the additional condition read

$$\frac{3}{2}\eta\Big[1-\sqrt{1+\frac{2}{3\eta^2}}\Big]<\beta<\frac{3}{2}\eta, \tag{30}$$

while for $\tau_c>0$ in addition to (29) one needs

$$\frac{3}{2}\eta<\beta<\frac{3}{2}\eta\Big[1+\sqrt{1+\frac{2}{3\eta^2}}\Big]. \tag{31}$$

ii) if $(\beta\,\eta+1)<0$ then for B_1 to be an stable point one requires

$$\beta>\frac{\lambda}{2}\Big[-1+\sqrt{1+\frac{6(\eta\,\lambda-1)}{\lambda^2}}\Big],$$

or

$$\beta<-\frac{\lambda}{2}\Big[1+\sqrt{1+\frac{6(\eta\,\lambda-1)}{\lambda^2}}\Big]. \tag{32}$$

Additionally for $\tau_c < 0$ we should have

$$\beta > \frac{3}{2}\eta\Big[1+\sqrt{1+\frac{2}{3\eta^2}}\Big], \tag{33}$$

and for $\tau_c > 0$

$$\beta < \frac{3}{2}\eta\Big[1-\sqrt{1+\frac{2}{3\eta^2}}\Big]. \tag{34}$$

All in all, point B_1 could be an attractor scaling solution in which accelerated expansion of the universe occurs. In the left panel Figure 2 we have depicted the phase-space trajectories of the model for special choices of parameters. With these values of parameters, B_1 is an attractor point as it is clear from the figure.

Critical Point B_2:
This point is a scalar field dominated solution ($\Omega_\varphi = 1$) but without accelerated expansion because $\omega_{eff} = 1$. Since the eigenvalues of the corresponding matrix Σ at point B_2 are very complicated, one can not conclude about its stability analytically. Thus, we should look at the phase-space trajectories to find whether this point is stable or not. Our numerical computations show that point B_2 is a stable point. Because of the disadvantage that the expansion is not accelerating, this point is not a realistic solution at late-times and we leave further explanations about point B_2.

Critical Point B_3:
Point B_3 has the same properties as point B_2. It exists for all values of model parameters, it is a stable dark energy dominated solution without accelerated expansion. Thus, we don't pay attention to this point further.

Critical Point B_4:
The fixed point B_4 exists for

$$\beta > \frac{\lambda+3\eta(\gamma-1)}{2}\Big[-1+\sqrt{1-\frac{6(2-\gamma)(\gamma-\eta\,\lambda)}{\big(\lambda+3\eta(\gamma-1)\big)^2}}\Big],$$

or

$$\beta < -\frac{\lambda+3\eta(\gamma-1)}{2}\Big[1+\sqrt{1-\frac{6(2-\gamma)(\gamma-\eta\,\lambda)}{\big(\lambda+3\eta(\gamma-1)\big)^2}}\Big]. \tag{35}$$

The expressions for Ω_φ, ω_φ, ω_{eff} and deceleration parameter q at this point are given by

$$\Omega_\varphi = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{3\gamma\,\eta\,\lambda - 2\beta^2 + 6\beta\,\eta - 2\beta\,\lambda + 6\eta\,\lambda - 6\gamma}{(\beta+\lambda)^2}, \tag{36}$$

$$\omega_\varphi = (\gamma-1) + \frac{2\gamma\,\beta\,(\beta+\lambda)}{3\eta\big(2\beta+(\gamma+2)\lambda\big) - 2\beta\,(\beta+\lambda) - 6\gamma}, \tag{37}$$

$$\omega_{eff} = \frac{\gamma\,\lambda - \beta - \lambda}{\beta+\lambda}, \tag{38}$$

and

$$q = \frac{3\gamma\,\lambda - 2\beta - 2\lambda}{2(\beta+\lambda)}. \tag{39}$$

Looking at equation (36), we conclude that point B_4 is a scaling solution ($0 \leq \Omega_\varphi < 1$) for particular values of parameters η, λ, β and γ. Thus this point can solve the coincidence problem.
Let us now examine the stability properties of this point. One of the eigenvalues of 3×3 matrix Σ at point B_4 is $\mu_1 = \frac{3\tau_c\,\gamma}{\beta+\lambda}$, while two other eigenvalues are too complicated and hence we do not give their explicit expressions here. Numerically we find that if this point exists, it is stable and thus attract the universe at late-times. The conditions for stability of point B_4 is as follows

$$\tau_c > 0 \quad and \quad \beta+\lambda < 0,$$

or

$$\tau_c < 0 \;\; and \;\; \beta + \lambda > 0. \tag{40}$$

In the right panel of Figure 2 we have chosen the parameters such that they satisfy the above conditions. One can clearly see the attractor behavior of point B_4 in this figure. Another advantage of this point is that acceleration can be occurred under the following conditions

$$\beta < \frac{\lambda(3\gamma - 2)}{2} \;\; and \;\; \beta + \lambda < 0,$$

or

$$\beta > \frac{\lambda(3\gamma - 2)}{2} \;\; and \;\; \beta + \lambda > 0. \tag{41}$$

Critical Point B_5:
Similar to points B_2 and B_3, point B_5 is also a completely dark energy dominated solution ($\Omega_\varphi = 1$) that exists for

$$\eta\,\lambda < 2,$$

and

$$-3\big(\eta + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} + \eta^2}\big) < \lambda < 3\big(-\eta + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} + \eta^2}\big). \tag{42}$$

Dark energy equation of state, effective equation of state and deceleration parameter at point B_5 are as follows:

$$\omega_\varphi = \frac{1}{3}\,\frac{9\eta\,\lambda + 2\lambda^2 - 6}{2 - \eta\,\lambda}, \tag{43}$$

$$\omega_{eff} = \frac{1}{3}\,\frac{9\eta\,\lambda + 2\lambda^2 - 6}{2 - \eta\,\lambda}, \tag{44}$$

and

$$q = \frac{4\eta\,\lambda + \lambda^2 - 2}{2 - \eta\,\lambda}. \tag{45}$$

Using equation (44) the required condition for acceleration ($\omega_{eff} < -\frac{1}{3}$) can be obtained as

$$-2\eta\Big[1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2\eta^2}}\Big] < \lambda < 2\eta\Big[-1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2\eta^2}}\Big]. \tag{46}$$

Three eigenvalues of Jacobian matrix Σ at point B_5 read,

$$\mu_1 = \frac{6\eta\,\lambda + \lambda^2 - 6}{2 - \eta\,\lambda},$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{2\tau_c(3\eta + \lambda)}{2 - \eta\,\lambda},$$

$$\mu_3 = \frac{2(\lambda^2 - 3\gamma) + 3(\gamma + 2)\eta\,\lambda + 2\beta_1(\lambda + 3\eta)}{2 - \eta\,\lambda}. \tag{47}$$

Thus, this point is an attractor (stable) point if

$$-\frac{1}{4}\big(3\eta(\gamma+2)+2\beta\big)\Big[1+\sqrt{1-\frac{48(\beta\,\eta-\gamma)}{\big(3\eta(\gamma+2)+2\beta\big)^2}}\Big] < \lambda < \frac{1}{4}\big(3\eta(\gamma+2)+2\beta\big)\Big[-1+\sqrt{1-\frac{48(\beta\,\eta-\gamma)}{\big(3\eta(\gamma+2)+2\beta\big)^2}}\Big]. \tag{48}$$

Phase-space trajectories of the model for different initial conditions, have been plotted in Figure 3 such that the attractor behavior of point B_5 is transparent. Note however that, although point B_5 is an attractor solution of the autonomous system, it is not a scaling attractor and this is the disadvantage of point B_5.
The evolution of density parameters Ω_φ and Ω_m have been also depicted in Figure 4. In this figure we have considered $f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\kappa}\big(1 + e^{\kappa\varphi}\big)$ such that $\alpha(u) = -1 + u$ and $\tau_c = 1$. The present epoch ($N = 4$) corresponds to $\Omega_\varphi \approx 0.68$ and $\Omega_m \approx 0.32$.

Table 3: The critical points of the autonomous system (10)-(12) for dynamically changing α and the corresponding values of the dark energy density parameter Ω_φ, the dark energy equation of state parameter ω_φ and the effective equation of state parameter ω_{eff}.

Name	x_c	y_c	u_c	Ω_φ	ω_φ	ω_{eff}
B_1	$\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\frac{3\eta(\gamma-2)+2\beta}{-\beta\eta+\gamma-2}$	0	1	Eq. (22)	Eq. (23)	Eq. (24)
B_2	$\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\eta+\sqrt{1+\frac{3\eta^2}{2}}$	0	1	1	1	1
B_3	$\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\eta-\sqrt{1+\frac{3\eta^2}{2}}$	0	1	1	1	1
B_4	$\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{\gamma}{\beta+\lambda}$	$\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}(2-\gamma)(\gamma-\eta\lambda)+3\beta\eta(\gamma-1)+\beta(\beta+\lambda)}}{\lvert\beta+\lambda\rvert}$	1	Eq. (36)	Eq. (37)	Eq. (38)
B_5	$\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\frac{(3\eta+\lambda)}{(2-\eta\lambda)}$	$\frac{\sqrt{6(1-\eta\lambda)-\lambda^2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}+\eta^2}}{2-\eta\lambda}$	1	1	Eq. (43)	Eq. (44)

Table 4: Existence, acceleration and stability conditions of the fixed points in Table 3.

label	existence	acceleration	stability
B_1	All values	Eqs. (26,27)	Eqs. (29)-(34)
B_2	All values	No	*see explanations about this point in the text*
B_3	All values	No	*see explanations about this point in the text*
B_4	$\beta>\frac{\lambda+3\eta(\gamma-1)}{2}\Big[-1+\sqrt{1-\frac{6(2-\gamma)(\gamma-\eta\lambda)}{\big(\lambda+3\eta(\gamma-1)\big)^2}}\Big]$ *or* $\beta<-\frac{\lambda+3\eta(\gamma-1)}{2}\Big[1+\sqrt{1-\frac{6(2-\gamma)(\gamma-\eta\lambda)}{\big(\lambda+3\eta(\gamma-1)\big)^2}}\Big]$	Eq. (41)	Eq. (40)
B_5	$\eta\lambda<2$ *and* $-3\big(\eta+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}+\eta^2}\big)<\lambda<3\big(-\eta+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}+\eta^2}\big)$	Eq. (46)	Eq. (48)

6 Conclusion

Recently Otalora [40] has proposed a new teleparallel dark energy model in which the scalar field, responsible for dark energy, couples to vector torsion through its derivative. The model (4) is similar to the de Sitter teleparallel gravity, a theory consistent with local space-time kinematics regulated by the de Sitter group, proposed in Ref [54]. In such a theory gravitational sector modeled by teleparallel gravity interacts with the cosmological function due to a non-minimal coupling between the trace of the covariant derivative of the vierbein and a non-constant cosmological function Λ. Because the cosmological function is not restricted to be constant, its value can evolve during the cosmological evolution and thus may be suitable to describe the evolution of the universe from inflation to dark energy. As it is mentioned in [54] a huge cosmological term can drive inflation at the early universe and afterwards, it should decay to a small value to allow the structure formation of the universe. Then its value should somehow increase to account for the late-time accelerated expansion of the universe [60].

Additionally a conformally invariant extension of teleparallel gravity in which derivative of a scalar field non-minimally coupled to the vector torsion can realize a power-law or the de Sitter expansion of the universe and also can give rise to the ΛCDM model as it was shown in [52]. Later we studied the same model using a non-canonical scalar field (tachyon) instead of quintessence in the action [41]. Here we have generalized the

Otalora's model by considering an interaction between dark sectors. Our basic goal was to examine whether there exist late-time scaling attractors, corresponding to a accelerated universe or not. Scaling attractors are those solutions that both density parameters of dark energy (Ω_{DE}) and dark matter (Ω_{DM}) are non-vanishing constants over there. Such a solutions can give hope to alleviate the cosmological coincidence problem and if they posses acceleration expansion, basic observational requirements will be satisfied.
Using dynamical system method we have extracted the critical points of the model for both constant and non-constant α. When α is constant (it means the coupling function $f(\varphi) \propto \varphi$) we find two critical point A_1 and A_2 in Table 1. Neither A_1 nor A_2 are scaling attractors. For non-constant α there are five critical points presented in Table 3. In this case point B_1 and B_4 are scaling attractors under certain conditions for the free parameters of the model and they have the possibility to explain an accelerated universe. These two points make the main difference between interacting and non-interacting scenario at hand. While there is no scaling attractor in non-interacting scenario, one can switch on the interaction and obtain such solutions. The universe evolves to these attractor solutions for different initial conditions.
Before closing this section we mention some additional points: the post-Newtonian limit of teleparallel gravity with a non-minimally coupled scalar field to the scalar torsion has been investigated in [55, 56] and it is shown that the model is compatible with scalar system tests. Spherically symmetric solutions of such a model were also studied in the literature (see for example [57-59]). In the case of our model for dark energy the action (4) should passes standard solar tests i.e Newton law non-violation and possibility to make spherical solution. So, it would be worthy to study the solar system constraint on the model parameter and also examine the black hole and spherical body solutions to obtain additional information on its novel features. Such projects, although necessary, lie outside the aim of the present paper and are left for future investigations.



Figure 1: 3-dimensional phase-space trajectories of the cosmological scenario (10)-(12) with stable attractor A_1 for the parameter choices $\lambda = 5$, $\alpha = 1$, $\eta = -1$ (left) and for $\lambda = 5$, $\alpha = -1$, $\eta = 1$ (right).



Figure 2: 3-dimensional phase-space trajectories of the cosmological scenario (10)-(12) with stable attractor B_1 (left) for the parameter choices $\gamma = 1$, $\lambda = -3$, $\alpha = -1 + u$, $\eta = -1$, $\tau_c = 1$, $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$ and stable attractor B_4 (right) for $\gamma = 1$, $\lambda = 2$, $\alpha = 1 - u$, $\eta = 1$, $\tau_c = -1$, $\beta = 1$.



Figure 3: 3-dimensional phase-space trajectories of the cosmological scenario (10)-(12) with stable attractor B_5 for the parameter choices $\gamma = 1$, $\lambda = -2$, $\alpha = 1 - u$, $\eta = 2$, $\tau_c = -1$, $\beta = -1$.



Figure 4: Evolution of Ω_φ (dotdashed) and Ω_m (solid) with $\gamma = 1$, $\lambda = -3$, $\eta = -1$, $\tau_c = 1$, $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$. The initial conditions are $x_i = 10^{-8}$, $y_i = 3.7 \times 10^{-2}$ and $u_i = 10^{-7}$. The corresponding values of Ω_φ and Ω_m at the present epoch ($N \simeq 4$) are $\Omega_\varphi \approx 0.68$ and $\Omega_m \approx 0.32$.

References

[1] A.G. Riess, et. al., *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009 (1998); *astron. J.* **117**, 707 (1999).

[2] S.Perlmutter et. al., *Nature* **391**, 51 (1998).

[3] M. Kowalski, et. al., *Astrophys. Journal* **686**, 749 (2008).

[4] M. Hicken et. al., *Astrophys. J.* **700**, 1097 (2009).

[5] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 559 (2003).

[6] T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rept.* **380**, 235 (2003).

[7] C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys. B* **302**, 668 (1988); B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 3406 (1988); R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1582 (1998).

[8] S. Alexander, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 023507 (2002); A. Mazumdar, S. Panda and A. Perez-Lorenzana, *Nucl. Phys. B* **614**, 101 (2001); G. Gibbons, *Phys. Lett. B* **537**, 1 (2002).

[9] M.R. Garousi, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 083005 (2005); E.J. Copeland, M.R. Garousi, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 043003 (2005); A. Sen, *JHEP* **9910**, 008 (1999); E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, T. de Wit, E. Eyras and S. Panda, *JHEP* **0005**, 009 (2000); J. Kluson, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 126003 (2000).

[10] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13**, 3 (2010).

[11] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rept.* **505**, 59 (2011).

[12] C. Barragan, G. J. Olmo and H. Sanchis-Alepuz, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 024016 (2009); T. Saidov and A. Zhuk, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 124002 (2010); C. Barragan and G. J. Olmo, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 084015 (2010).

[13] M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, J. Morais and A. B. Henriques, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 103528 (2013); G. Leon and A. A. Roque, *JCAP* **1405**, 032 (2014); K. Bamba, A. N. Makarenko, A. N. Myagky, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *JCAP* **1401**, 008 (2014).

[14] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **631**, 1 (2005).

[15] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Lett. B* **675**, 1 (2009).

[16] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 063516 (2009); A. Jawad, S. Chattopadhyay and A. Pasqua, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **128**, 88 (2013).

[17] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 925 (1961).

[18] G. W. Horndeski, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10**, 363 (1974).

[19] C. Wetterich, *Astron. Astrophys.* **301**, 321 (1995); L. Amendola, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 043501 (1999).

[20] H. Garcia-Compean, G. Garcia-Jimenez, O. Obregon and C. Ramirez, *JCAP* **0807**, 016 (2008); M. Sami, M. Shahalam, M. Skugoreva and A. Toporensky, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 103532 (2012).

[21] R. Curbelo, T. Gonzalez, G. Leon and I. Quiros, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 1585 (2006); M. Shahalam, S. D. Pathak, M. M. Verma, M. Yu. Khlopov and R. Myrzakulov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 395 (2015).

[22] C. G. Bohmer, G. Caldera-Cabral, R. Lazkoz and R. Maartens, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 023505 (2008).

[23] O. Bertolami, F. G. Pedro and M. Le Delliou, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **41**, 2839 (2009).

[24] E. Abdalla, L. R. Abramo and J. C. C. de Souza, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 023508 (2010).

[25] R. Aldrovandi and J. G. Pereira, *Teleparallel Gravity: An Introduction*, 214p. Springer, Dordrecht (2013); H. I. Arcos and J. G. Pereira, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **13**, 2193 (2004); Y. F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M. D. Laurentis and E. N. Saridakis, arXiv:1511.07586 [gr-qc].

[26] F. W. Hehl, P. Von Der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick and J. M. Nester, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 393 (1976).

[27] K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 3524 (1979).

[28] E. E. Flanagan and E. Rosenthal, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 124016 (2007).

[29] K. Bamba, C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lee and L. W. Luo, *JCAP* **1101**, 021 (2011).

[30] K. Bamba, R. Myrzakulov, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 104036 (2012).

[31] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **725**, 368 (2013).

[32] G. Kofinas and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 084044 (2014).

[33] C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lee, E. N. Saridakis and Y. P. Wu, *Phys. Lett. B* **704**, 384 (2011); A. Banijamali and B. Fazlpour, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **342**, 229235 (2012).

[34] H. Wei, *Phys. Lett. B* **712**, 430 (2012); G. Otalora, *JCAP* **1307**, 044 (2013); C. Xu, E. N. Saridakis and G. Leon, arXiv:1202.3781 [gr-qc]; E. Dil and E. Kolay, *Adv. High Energy Phys.*, 608252 (2015).

[35] H. M. Sadjadi, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 6 (2013); C. Q. Geng and Y. P. Wu, *JCAP* **1304**, 033 (2013); Y. Kucukakca, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2327 (2013); Y. Kucukakca, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 3086 (2014).

[36] L. Amendola, *Phys. Lett. B* **301**, 175 (1993); S. Capozziello and G. Lambiase, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **31**, 1005 (1999).

[37] C. Germani and A. Kehagias, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 011302 (2010); S. V. Sushkov, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 103505 (2009); S. F. Daniel and R. R. Caldwell, *Class. Quant. Grav* **24**, 5573 (2007).

[38] L. N. Granda and W. Cardona, *JCAP* **07**, 021 (2010); A. Banijamali and B. Fazlpour, *JCAP* **04**, 030 (2015).

[39] G. Kofinas, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 084022 (2015); G. Kofinas, E. Papantonopoulos and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 104034 (2015).

[40] G. Otalora, *Int. J. Mod.Phys. D* **25**, 2 (2016).

[41] B. Fazlpour and A. Banijamali, *JCAP* **04**, 030 (2015).

[42] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle and D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 4686-4690 (1998).

[43] D. J. Holden and D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 043506 (2000).

[44] S. L. Ross, *Differential Equations*, 3rd ed. (John Wiley and Sons), 2004.

[45] Sh. Y. Zhou, *Phys. Lett. B* **660**, 7 (2008); W. Fang, Y. Li, K. Zhang and H. Q. Lu, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 155005 (2009).

[46] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1984).

[47] L. H. Ford, *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 2955 (1987).

[48] C. G. Callan, S. R. Coleman and R. Jackiw, *Annals Phys.* **59**, 42 (1970).

[49] K. i. Maeda, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **3**, 233 (1986).

[50] S. Bahamonde and M. Wright, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 8, 084034 (2015).

[51] M. Zubair and S. Bahamonde, arXiv:1604.02996 [gr-qc].

[52] K. Bamba, S. D. Odintsov and D. Sez-Gmez, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 084042 (2013).

[53] J. W. Maluf and F. F. Faria, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 027502 (2012).

[54] H. Jennen and J. G. Pereira, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **11**, 49-53 (2016).

[55] J. T. Li, Y. P. Wu, and C. Q. Geng, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 044040 (2014).

[56] Z. C. Chen, Y. Wu, and H. Wei, *Nucl. Phys. B* **894**, 422 (2015).

[57] D. Horvat, S. Ilijic, A. Kirin, and Z. Narancic, *Class. Quantum. Grav.* **32**, 035023 (2015); D. Horvat, S. Ilijic, A. Kirin, and Z. Narancic, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 024045 (2015).

[58] P. A. Gonzalez, J. Saavedra and Y. Vasquez, arXiv:1411.2193 [gr-qc].

[59] G. Kofinas, E. Papantonopoulos, and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 104034 (2015); G. Kofinas, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 084022 (2015).

[60] A. Araujo, H. Jennen, J. G. Pereira, A. C. Sampson and L. L. Savi, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47**, 151 (2015).